

Principal investigator: **Candidat 1**  
Co-investigators:

Lien vers notre formulaire en ligne  
<https://qprn.ca/fr/candidature-projets-pilotes/>

THEME: **Clinical / Patient-oriented**

TITLE **Projet 1**

#### 1) RELEVANCE TO PILOT PROJECT PROGRAM

	Yes	No	
Project involving new investigators (< 5 years from appointment)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Project involving new research direction for established investigators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Project involving new multi-investigator research team	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>1.1 Collaboration force, rallying capacity</b>	<b>3</b>		
Involves co-investigators or co-applicants from diverse expertise, fields, teams?	1	/1	
Involves highly qualified personnel and promotes graduate student exposure?	1	/1	
Involves intersectorial collaborations?	1	/1	

#### 2) EVALUATION OF THE APPLICANT(S)

<b>2.1 Publications</b>	<b>4</b>		Comments:
Number of publications (in accordance with current career standing)	2	/2	
Publications scientific quality/impact	2	/2	
<b>2.2 Academic standing</b>	<b>4</b>		Comments:
Qualifications, degrees, expertise according to current career standing	2	/2	
Expertise to fulfill the objectives of the pilot project proposed	2	/2	

#### 3) EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSAL

<b>3.1 Research plan</b>	<b>18</b>		Comments:
Rational clarity	2	/2	
Well-defined and realistic objectives	6	/6 (!)	
Experimental methodology/analyses/statistics	6	/6 (!)	
Budget : human resources/infrastructure/material/open access publication justification	2	/2	
Feasibility	2	/2	
<b>3.2 Relevance</b>	<b>10</b>		Comments:
Pilot aspect of the project	2	/2	
Scientific, clinical or social relevance	6	/6 (!)	
Capacity for pilot results to lead to external funding or guidelines or training	2	/2	
<b>3.3 Societal aspects</b>	<b>6</b>		Comments:
Equity, diversity and inclusion (EDI) strategies relevance	2	/2	
Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) strategies relevance	2	/2	
Knowledge transfer strategies (other than publications and conference talk)	2	/2	

#### 4) GLOBAL EVALUATION (out of 45) **45**

Should this pilot project be funded (> 31,5 / 45)? Please provide additional comments if necessary to support funding or rejection.

Comments

Project strengths

Project weaknesses